

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/792,161	JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Howard E. Abramowitz	1762	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Howard E. Abramowitz.

(3) _____.

 (2) Andrew Oltmans.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-8 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The discussion was regarding the cancellation of claims 1-8 and 12 as they are drawn to a non-elected invention and the elected claims are in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)